\mathcal{L} Instability of the Origami of a Ferrofluid Drop in a Magnetic Field

Timothée Jamin, Charlotte Py, and Eric Falcon^{[*](#page-3-0)}

Univ Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cite´, MSC, UMR 7057 CNRS, F-75 013 Paris, France (Received 21 July 2011; revised manuscript received 7 October 2011; published 11 November 2011)

Capillary origami is the wrapping of a usual fluid drop by a planar elastic membrane due to the interplay between capillary and elastic forces. Here, we use a drop of magnetic fluid whose shape is known to strongly depend on an applied magnetic field. We study the quasistatic and dynamical behaviors of such a magnetic capillary origami. We report the observation of an overturning instability that the origami undergoes at a critical magnetic field. This instability is triggered by an interplay between magnetic and gravitational energies in agreement with the theory presented here. Additional effects of elasticity and capillarity on this instability are also discussed.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.204503](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.204503) PACS numbers: 47.65.Cb, 46.32.+x, 68.08.-p

Generally, a solid in contact with a static liquid interface is undeformed by surface tension forces at large scales. However, for submillimetric scales or when gravity is negligible, capillary forces may deform an elastic structure in various domains (see [\[1](#page-3-1)] for a review): adhesion (coalescence of wet hairs [\[2\]](#page-3-2)), biological systems (floating flowers [\[3\]](#page-4-0)), or industrial applications (microscale fabrication such as 3D photovoltaic cells or microelectromechanical systems [[1\]](#page-3-1)). The most well-known phenomenon involving the interplay between elasticity and capillarity is capillary origami. It concerns the spontaneous wrapping of a liquid droplet by a planar elastic sheet when the capillary forces dominate the restoring elastic ones [\[4,](#page-4-1)[5\]](#page-4-2). Various folding shapes (spherical, cubic, or triangular encapsulation) can then be tuned from the geometry of the initial flat membrane. A new challenge is to accurately control the folding and unfolding of the origami without the use of a mechanical part. Such a capillary origami control has been recently performed by means of an electric field and could lead to potential applications to digital displays [[6](#page-4-3)[,7\]](#page-4-4). However, the capillary origami is generally considered as a quasistatic phenomenon and dynamical studies are rare. To our knowledge, only one experiment concerns the dynamical selection of the final shape of the capillary origami when a drop impacts an elastic membrane [[8](#page-4-5)].

In this Letter, a drop of magnetic fluid is deposited on a flat elastic membrane and is submitted to a magnetic field. The shape of the ferrofluid drop is known to strongly depend on the applied magnetic field [\[9](#page-4-6)–[11\]](#page-4-7). The wrapping of the drop by the thin elastic membrane (origami) is thus expected to be strongly modified by the magnetic field. Both quasistatic and dynamical behaviors of such a magnetic capillary origami are reported here. The most striking one is the observation of an overturning instability that the origami undergoes at a critical magnetic field. This instability is shown to arise from the interplay between magnetic and gravitational energies.

The ferrofluid used is an ionic aqueous suspension synthesized with 12.4% by volume of maghemite particles

(Fe₂0₃; 7 ± 0.3 nm in diameter) [\[12\]](#page-4-8). The properties of this magnetic fluid are density $\rho = 1550 \text{ kg/m}^3$ surface this magnetic fluid are density $\rho = 1550 \text{ kg/m}^3$, surface tension $\gamma = 43 \pm 3$ mN/m, initial magnetic susceptibility
 $v = 0.75$ magnetic saturation $M = 36 \times 10^3$ A/m $\gamma = 43 \pm$
75 maon $\chi_i = 0.75$, magnetic saturation $M_{\text{sat}} = 36 \times 10^3$ A/m,
and dynamic viscosity 1.4×10^{-3} Ns/m². The elastic and dynamic viscosity 1.4×10^{-3} N s/m². The elastic membranes are made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS— Dow Corning Sylgard 184), a 10:1 polymer and curing agent mix. The PDMS is spin coated at rotation rates in the range 1800—2800 rpm over Emery polishing paper (grit 0) with average roughness of 192 μ m. The thickness h of the membrane depends on the rotation rate and ranges from 50 to 100 μ m. Its roughness significantly reduces the adhesion of the membrane on the substrate [\[6](#page-4-3)]. The ferrofluid drop alone or wrapped by the elastic membrane (origami) is then placed between two horizontal coils, 25 cm (53 cm) in inner (outer) diameter, 7 cm far apart. A dc current I is supplied to the coils in series by a power supply (50 V/50 A). The vertical magnetic induction B generated is up to 780 G and is measured by a Hall probe located near the drop. The magnitude of B is proportional to I and is controlled either manually or by means of a ramp generator of typical speed rate 0.4 A/s . Deformations of the drop are visualized from a side view by means of a 45° mirror reflecting a diffuse lighting towards a high-resolution camera (Pixelink 2208×3000 pixels) located above the drop, and are recorded up to a 150 Hz sampling.

We first study the deformation of a ferrofluid drop alone deposited on a superhydrophobic surface and submitted to a vertical magnetic field. As shown in inset (a) of Fig. [1](#page-1-0), the drop is quasispherical at $B = 0$. When B is increased, the drop lengthens in the direction of B and its shape changes continuously from a semiellipsoid [inset (b)], then a pointed ellipsoid [inset (c)] up to a sharp tip [inset (d)]. By analogy with a semiellipsoid, we define a (b) as the semimajor (semiminor) axis of the deformed drop. a is measured from the top of the drop to the horizontal plane where its width is largest, whereas b is its halfmaximal width (see inset of Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Both are measured

FIG. 1 (color online). Aspect ratio a/b of a ferrofluid drop on a superhydrophobic substrate versus the magnetic Bond number Bo_m, for two values of the initial drop radius: $R_0 = 1.2 \ (\triangle)$ and 0.93 mm \Box). Inset: Photo of the ferrofluid drop deformation for different vertical magnetic fields: $B = 0$ (a), 260 (b), 520 (c), and 780 G (d) corresponding to $Bo_m = 0, 11, 31,$ and 53, respectively. $R_0 = 1.2$ mm. Bar scale is 2 mm.

on the image of the drop with a 5% accuracy. The aspect ratio a/b of the drop is plotted in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) as a function of the magnetic Bond number, Bo_m . This dimensionless number characterizes the order of magnitude of the ratio between magnetic and capillary energies [\[11\]](#page-4-7). $Bo_m \equiv \chi(B)B^2R_0/(\mu_0\gamma)$ with R_0 the initial drop radius
at $B = 0$, $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ H/m the magnetic permeabilat $B = 0$, $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ H/m the magnetic permeability of the vacuum, and $\chi(B)$ the magnetic susceptibility of the ferrofluid which is a known decreasing function of B with $\chi_i \equiv \chi(B = 0)$ [\[13\]](#page-4-9). The elongation of the drop is found to strongly increase with Bo_m since the ferrofluid tends to align towards the direction of B. Moreover, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0), both curves performed for two different R_0 superimpose underlying that a small drop is less deformed than a larger one for the same applied B. Note that such a deformation has also been observed when the ferrofluid drop is surrounded by a nonmiscible fluid of almost the same density leading to a full ellipsoidal shape: a/b is then found to increase continuously with B for small $\chi_i \sim 1$ [\[9\]](#page-4-6) whereas for a large one ($\chi_i \ge 20$) a discontinuous deformation occurs [\[10](#page-4-10)]. The elongation of a full ellipsoidal droplet can be described theoretically in the limit of a linear [\[10,](#page-4-10)[11\]](#page-4-7) or nonlinear [[14](#page-4-11)] drop magnetization. To our knowledge, no analogous analytical computation exists for a ferrofluid drop with a semiellipsoid shape (as the one in Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0).

Let us now focus on the behavior of a ferrofluid drop wrapped by an elastic membrane and submitted to a magnetic field. A geometric shape of the membrane is manually cut out from the PDMS layer and placed on the superhydrophobic surface. The membrane shape is chosen

FIG. 2. Deformation and instability of the magnetic capillary origami as the vertical magnetic field is increased: $B = 0$ (a), 150 (b), 400 (c), and 600 G (d) corresponding to $Bo_m = 0$, 10, 48, and 84, respectively. An overturning instability occurs between (c) and (d) (see text and movie in Ref. [[15](#page-4-12)]). $R_0 = 2.7$ mm, $L = 10$ mm, $h = 65$ μ m. Bar scale is 2 mm.

to be an equilateral triangle of side L ($5 \le L \le 15$ mm) such that the closed state of the origami will have a pyramidlike shape [[4\]](#page-4-1). A typical experiment is as follows. First, at $B = 0$, a ferrofluid drop is deposited on the planar membrane. Second, the volume of the drop is adjusted such that, due to the competition between capillary and elastic forces, the three corners of the membrane touch themselves and wrap the spherical drop as shown in Fig. $2(a)$. When B is turned on and is increased, the drop lengthens vertically [Fig. $2(b)$], then exhibits a pyramidal shape at higher *B* due to the presence of the membrane [see Fig. [2\(c\)\]](#page-1-1). For a critical value B_c , corresponding to a critical Bond number, Bo_m^C , the origami suddenly overturns, then oscillates around its vertical position before stopping its rocking around its vertical position before stopping its rocking motion (see movie in [[15](#page-4-12)]). This leads to a new static configuration of the origami [see Fig. $2(d)$]: the drop now forms a cone at its top, and rests on one corner of the membrane, the two other corners wrapping it horizontally. If B is now decreased, the origami falls forward and never returns to its initial configuration, thus showing a hysteretic behavior.

To quantitatively study the above instability, the maximum height z_{max} of the magnetic capillary origami is measured during a linear temporal increase of B at a tunable rate ranging from 3 to 40 G/s . This rate is slow enough to consider a quasistatic evolution of B (see below). Figure [3](#page-2-0) shows the dimensionless height z_{max}/R_0 as a function of Bo_m for the origami and for a ferrofluid drop alone $(R_0$ being the initial radius). For the drop alone, z_{max}/R_0 is a continuous function of Bo_m. For the origami, a jump of z_{max}/R_0 is observed for a critical Bond number, Bo_m^c , corresponding to the threshold of the overturning
instability for which the origami shape changes from a instability for which the origami shape changes from a pyramid [Fig. $2(c)$] to a conelike shape [Fig. $2(d)$]. Because of inertia, this jump in z_{max}/R_0 is accompanied by an overshoot $(z_{\text{max}}/R_0$ reaching a maximum higher than the equilibrium) followed by underdamped oscillations (see bottom inset of Fig. [3](#page-2-0) and movie in [[15\]](#page-4-12)). Moreover, just after the instability occurring at Bo_m^c , the origami in its new configuration has a height close to the one of the drop new configuration has a height close to the one of the drop alone meaning that the stress applied by the membrane has relaxed. When iterating this experiment for different sizes

FIG. 3 (color online). Height of the origami [light gray (red) dots] rescaled by its initial radius ($R_0 = 2.3$ mm), as a function of the magnetic Bond number Bo_m , and the corresponding evolution for a ferrofluid drop alone (black dots, $R_0 = 2$ mm). $L = 8.4$ mm, $h = 56 \mu$ m. A jump in the height of the origami is observed for a critical Bond $Bo_m^c = 25.3$, corresponding to the instability shown between Figs. $2(c)$ and $2(d)$. Bottom instability shown between Figs. [2\(c\)](#page-1-1) and [2\(d\)](#page-1-1). Bottom inset: Zoom near the instability region. Top inset: Bo_m^c versus the size *I* of the elastic membrane the size L of the elastic membrane.

L of the triangular membrane of fixed thickness h , the onset of this instability $B\sigma_m^c$ is found to increase with L, as shown in the top inset of Fig. 3. as shown in the top inset of Fig. [3.](#page-2-0)

We first explain these above observations by dimensional scaling laws. At the onset of the instability, an increase of the origami height is observed (see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0) underlying that gravitational energy E_g should be taken into account. One has $E_g \sim \rho V g z_{mc}$ with z_{mc} the mass center of the origami and V the volume of the ferrofluid. One can assume $z_{mc} \sim L$ and $V \sim L^3$ since the initial configuration of the origami is quasispherical, and thus $E_g \sim L^4$. The magnetic energy writes $E_m \sim \mu_0 MHV \sim$ $L^3B^2\chi(B)/\mu_0$ since the magnetization $M \sim \chi(H)H$ and the magnetic field $H = B/\mu_0$ [[13](#page-4-9)]. The balance between the magnetic and gravitational energies thus leads to the critical magnetic field B_c at the instability threshold such that $\chi(B_c)B_c^2 \sim L$. Thus, $B\sigma_m^c \sim \chi(B_c)B_c^2$ is expected to increase with L as observed in the inset of Fig. 3. Bo^c is increase with L as observed in the inset of Fig. [3.](#page-2-0) B_0^c is
also expected to be independent of the membrane thickness also expected to be independent of the membrane thickness h as found experimentally. A similar scaling law analysis balancing the magnetic energy with the capillary energy E_c , or the elastic one E_e , leads for both cases to inverse predictions, i.e., a decrease of Bo^c_n with L since $E_c \sim \gamma L^2$,
and $F_c \sim Fh^3 \kappa^2 L^2$ (*F* being the Young modulus of the and $E_e \sim Eh^3\kappa^2L^2$ (*E* being the Young modulus of the membrane of curvature $\kappa \sim 1/L$). Thus, the origami overturning occurring at B_c is triggered by a competition between magnetic and gravitational energies. Note that the role of capillarity and elasticity is nonzero. When $B = 0$, it drives the origami formation when $E_c \ge E_e$

(i.e., for $L \gtrsim \sqrt{Eh^3/\gamma}$ [[4](#page-4-1)]), and they both deform the ferrofluid shape when $B \neq 0$.

Let us now characterize this instability more quantitatively. To do that, one has to compute the magnetic tively. To do that, one has to compute the magnetic
energy $E_m \equiv -\mu_0 V \int_0^{H_0} M(H) dH_0$, with M the ferrofluid
magnetization assumed uniform within the drop [14] H is magnetization assumed uniform within the drop $[14]$ $[14]$ $[14]$. *H* is the magnetic field within the ferrofluid droplet that depends on the applied magnetic field H_0 through the implicit equation $H = H_0 - DM(H)$, D being the demagnetization coefficient that depends on the ferrofluid shape [[13](#page-4-9)]. For a full ellipsoid, it is known that $D = \frac{1-e^2}{2e^3} [\ln \frac{1+e}{1-e} - 2e]$, with Full empsond, it is known that $D = \frac{1}{2e^3} \ln \frac{1}{1-e} = 2e$, with
 $e = \sqrt{1 - (b/a)^2}$ the eccentricity and a (b) the semimajor

(semiminor) axis [10,111]. For instance, in the case of an (semiminor) axis [[10](#page-4-10),[11](#page-4-7)]. For instance, in the case of an ellipsoidal drop alone, $D = 0$ for a drop infinitely stretched in the direction of H_0 , $D = 1/3$ for a spherical drop, and $D = 1$ for an infinitely flat drop normal to H_0 . The magnetic energy E_m thus depends on the external magnetic field H_0 and on the droplet shape through its magnetization $M(H)$ since H is a function of both D and H_0 . Consequently, the computation of E_m demands to integrate $M(H)$ over the external magnetic field H_0 . We estimate $M(H)$ by using the usual Langevin expression: $M(H) = M_{\text{sat}}\mathcal{L}(3\chi_i H/M_{\text{sat}})$, where $\mathcal{L}(x) \equiv \coth(x) 1/x$ [\[13\]](#page-4-9). The initial magnetic susceptibility, $\chi_i =$ $\frac{dM}{dH}|_{H\rightarrow 0}$, and the magnetic saturation, $M_{\text{sat}} = M(H)|_{H\rightarrow \infty}$, are given by the ferrofluid properties (see above).

The variation of the magnetic energy during the instability, occurring at the critical field $H_0 = H_c$, reads $\Delta E_m =$ bility, occurring at the critical field $H_0 = H_c$, reads $\Delta E_m = -\mu_0 V \int_0^{H_c} \Delta M(H) dH_c$, where $\Delta M \equiv M(H_2) - M(H_1)$ is
the variation of M before and after the instability (denoted the variation of M before and after the instability (denoted by subscripts 1 and 2, respectively). $H_1 = H_c - D_1M(H_1)$ and $H_2 = H_c - D_2M(H_2)$ are the magnetic field within the drop before and after the instability. Since the origami undergoes a vertical elongation, one has $D_2 - D_1 < 0$ that leads to a decrease of the magnetic energy, i.e., $\Delta E_m < 0$. The variation of the gravitational energy during the instability is $\Delta E_g = \rho V g \Delta z_{mc}$, with Δz_{mc} the variation of the height of the mass center. At the onset of the instability, ΔE_m compensates ΔE_g , i.e., ΔE_m + $\Delta E_g = 0$, and therefore

$$
\Delta z_{mc} = \frac{\mu_0}{\rho g} \int_0^{H_c} \Delta M(H) dH_c.
$$
 (1)

For different sizes L of the membrane, Δz_{mc} is deduced from the measurements of z_{mc} before and after the instability occurring at B_c . The origami aspect ratio a/b is also measured in the same way as for the ferrofluid drop alone on a plane (see above). For such a semiellipsoid, no theoretical expression for the demagnetization coefficient D is known. However, substituting the measured value a/b of the semiellipsoidlike origami into the above expression of $D(a/b)$ for a full ellipsoid leads to a measured value of the demagnetization coefficient D_m . The quantity
 $\int_{c}^{H_c} \Lambda M$ (H) dH is experimentally found as follows $\int_0^{H_c} \Delta M_m(H) dH_c$ is experimentally found as follows

FIG. 4 (color online). Variation of the height of the origami mass center Δz_{mc} during the instability as a function of $f^{H_c} \Delta M$ (H) dH for different sizes I of the membrane: $\int_0^{H_c} \Delta M_m(H) dH_c$ for different sizes L of the membrane: $L = 7.8$ (\bullet), 8.5 (\blacksquare), 9.4 (\blacktriangledown), and 10 mm (\bullet). Membrane thickness $h = 65 \mu$ m. Solid line has a slope $\mu_0/(2\rho g)$.

(*m* denoting a measured quantity). For each $H_c \equiv B_c/\mu_0$ corresponding to each value of L, one computes $\Delta M_m(H)$ by using the experimental values of D_m before and after the instability, by numerically solving the above implicit equations giving H_1 and H_2 , and finally by iterating H_0 from 0 to H_c . Figure [4](#page-3-3) then shows Δz_{mc} as a function of f_{cc} ΔM (H) dH for different values of L Both quantities $\int_0^{H_c} \Delta M_m(H) dH_c$ for different values of L. Both quantities are found proportional, and with a constant $\mu_0/(2\rho g)$, i.e., half the coefficient predicted in Eq. ([1\)](#page-2-1). However, the value of the magnetic energy for a semiellipsoid can be assumed to be half of the one of the full ellipsoid, i.e., $\Delta M = \Delta M_m/2$. The experimental curve is therefore in good agreement with the predictions of Eq. ([1](#page-2-1)) with no adjustable parameter. Finally, Δz_{mc} is measured to be independent of the thickness h at fixed L , emphasizing that elasticity does not play a significant role during this overturning instability.

Capillarity and elasticity are at the origin of the capillary origami formation but are not involved in the threshold of the gravity-magnetic instability reported here. However, capillarity and elasticity effects can appear in the origami configuration before the instability: dewetting of the drop in the vicinity of the strongest curvature of the membrane (see left arrow in the top inset of Fig. [5\)](#page-3-4), as well as curvature of a ridge of the pyramid, can occur (see right arrow). Both phenomena result from elasticity and capillarity since they depend on the membrane thickness h and length L according to the phase diagram shown in Fig. [5.](#page-3-4) For thin enough membranes and/or long enough ones, the elasticity energy $\sim h^3 L^0$ is much smaller than the capillary h^0L^2 and magnetic $\sim h^0L^3$ ones. It thus leads to very small curvature radii of the membrane that rigidify the origami, and consequently favors the ferrofluid dewetting

FIG. 5 (color online). Main: Phase diagram of the origami configuration before instability as a function of membrane length L and thickness h: no origami (\triangle) , usual origami (\square) , origami with dewetting only (\Diamond) , with ridge curvature only (\bullet) , with ridge curvature and dewetting (X) . Top inset: Ferrofluid dewetting (see left arrow) and ridge curvature (see right arrow) before instability. Bottom inset: ''Anomalous'' final state after the instability when adhesion is involved.

(see zone 3 in Fig. [5\)](#page-3-4). For thinner h and/or longer L , as elasticity becomes negligible with respect to magnetic effects, the membrane bends inward and follows the elongated ferrofluid shape, leading to the curvature of the pyramid ridges (see zone 4). These additional effects of elasticity and capillarity on the overturning instability deserve further studies. Note also that if an adhesion force exists between the substrate and the membrane, the instability leads to an anomalous final state: one corner of the membrane points up while the two others wrap the drop at its base (see bottom inset of Fig. [5\)](#page-3-4).

Finally, the instability reported here could have some interest for potential applications (see Ref. [[1](#page-3-1)]). Indeed, the overturning instability is controlled in a nonintrusive way and its scaling law is suitable for miniaturization as lower critical magnetic fields are required for smaller size membranes.

We thank J.-C. Bacri for fruitful discussions, and J. Servais, A. Lantheaume, and M. Piñeirua for their technical help. We also thank D. Talbot (Univ Pierre and Marie Curie) for the ferrofluid synthesis. This work has been supported by ANR Turbonde No. BLAN07-3-197846.

[*e](#page-0-0)ric.falcon@univ-paris-diderot.fr

- [1] B. Roman and J. Bico, [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/49/493101) 22, [493101 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/22/49/493101)
- [2] A. E. Cohen and L. Mahadevan, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1534600100) U.S.A. 100[, 12 141 \(2003\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1534600100) J. Bico, B. Roman, L.

Moulin, and A. Boudaoud, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/432690a) 432, 690 [\(2004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/432690a).

- [3] P. M. Reis, J. Hure, S. Jung, J. W. M. Bush, and C. Clanet, Soft Matter 6[, 5705 \(2010\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0sm00895h) J. Armstrong, [Am. J. Bot.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/ajb.89.2.362) 89, [362 \(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.3732/ajb.89.2.362).
- [4] C. Py, P. Reverdy, L. Doppler, J. Bico, B. Roman, and C. N. Baroud, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98[, 156103 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.156103)
- [5] E. de Langre, C. N. Baroud, and P. Reverdy, [J. Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2009.10.004) Struct. 26[, 205 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2009.10.004).
- [6] M. Piñeirua, J. Bico, and B. Roman, [Soft Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0sm00004c) 6, 4491 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0sm00004c).
- [7] Q. Yuan and Y.-P. Zhao, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.246101) 104, 246101 [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.246101).
- [8] A. Antkowiak, B. Audoly, C. Josserand, S. Neukirch, and M. Rivetti, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101738108) 108, 10400 [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101738108).
- [9] V. I. Arkhipenko, Yu. D. Barkov, and V. G. Bashtovoi, Magnetohydrodynamics 14, 373 (1978).
- [10] J.-C. Bacri and D. Salin, [J. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019820043017064900) 43, 649 [\(1982\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019820043017064900).
- [11] E. Blums, A. Cebers, and M. M. Maiorov, Magnetic Fluids (W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1997); see also A. O. Tsebers, Magnetohydrodynamics 21, 19 (1985).
- [12] R. Massart, [IEEE Trans. Magn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.1981.1061188) 17, 1247 (1981).
- [13] R.E. Rosensweig, Ferrohydrodynamics (Dover, New York, 1997).
- [14] A.O. Ivanov, O.B. Kuznetsova, and I.M. Subbotin, Magnetohydrodynamics 47, 129 (2011) [\[http://](http://mhd.sal.lv/contents/2011/2/MG.47.2.3.R.html) [mhd.sal.lv/contents/2011/2/MG.47.2.3.R.html\]](http://mhd.sal.lv/contents/2011/2/MG.47.2.3.R.html).
- [15] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.204503) [supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.204503](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.204503) for a movie illustrating our experiments.