LANGMUIR

Capillary Imbibition into Converging Tubes: Beating Washburn's Law and the Optimal Imbibition of Liquids

Jean-Baptiste Gorce, Ian J. Hewitt, and Dominic Vella*

Mathematical Institute, Andrew Wiles Building, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, U.K.

ABSTRACT: We consider the problem of capillary imbibition into an axisymmetric tube for which the tube radius decreases in the direction of increasing imbibition. For tubes with constant radius, imbibition is described by Washburn's law (referred to here as the BCLW law to recognize the contributions of Bell, Cameron, and Lucas that predate Washburn). We show that imbibition into tubes with a power-law relationship between the radius and axial position generally occurs more quickly than imbibition into a constant-radius tube. By a suitable choice of the shape exponent, it is possible to decrease the time taken for the liquid to imbibe from one position to another by a factor of 2 compared to the BCLW law. We then show



that a further small decrease in the imbibition time may be obtained by using a tube consisting of a cylinder joined to a cone of 3 times the cylinder length. For a given inlet radius, this composite shape attains the minimum imbibition time possible. We confirm our theoretical results with experiments on the tips of micropipettes and discuss the possible significance of these results for the control of liquid motion in microfluidic devices.

■ INTRODUCTION

The imbibition of a wetting liquid into a cylindrical capillary tube is one of the classic demonstrations of interfacial tension: a negative capillary pressure beneath the meniscus sucks liquid into the tube at a rate that is determined by the viscous dissipation in the liquid. In the absence of gravity (and at sufficiently early times in the presence of gravity), the relationship between the time t after the start of imbibition and the penetration distance of the liquid into the medium $z_{\rm m}$ is diffusive, so that

$$z_{\rm m}(t) \sim t^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

This classic result is usually referred to as Washburn's law¹ or sometimes the Lucas–Washburn law since Lucas obtained the same result prior to Washburn.² However, it has recently been pointed out³ that Bell and Cameron⁴ also derived the $t^{1/2}$ law more than a decade before either Lucas or Washburn. In view of this, and as suggested by Reyssat et al.,³ we shall refer to eq 1 as the Bell–Cameron–Lucas–Washburn (or BCLW) imbibition law.

While the balance between surface tension and viscosity represented by the BCLW law (eq 1) is relatively simple, in many practical applications there are complications that modify the picture significantly. For example, at very early times the BCLW law predicts that the meniscus should be moving infinitely fast. In reality, the inertia of the liquid becomes important in these early stages so that in fact the meniscus moves at a constant speed.^{5,6}

In other scenarios, the geometry is not that of a single circular capillary tube. Imbibition into a porous medium may often be described by the BCLW law since the medium behaves as if the pores were cylindrical^{1,7} while imbibition into tubes with a noncircular (but uniform) cross section follows the BCLW law with a modified prefactor.⁸ However, other geometries alter the observed scalings considerably: in media consisting of packed spheres, the BCLW law is observed at early times but the meniscus subsequently advances according to some smaller power that is believed to be driven by dynamic contact angle effects.^{7,9}

Even without wetting effects, the geometry of the tube may modify the observed behavior considerably. Generally, this behavior leads to subdiffusive growth of the wetted portion, $z_{\rm m}$ $\propto t^{\beta}$ with $\beta < 1/2$. For example, imbibition into a vertical wedge gives $\beta = 1/3$,^{10,11} while imbibition into axisymmetric tubes with a cross-sectional radius that increases away from the opening initially follows the BCLW law before ultimately reaching a regime in which arbitrary values of $\beta < 1/2$ can be observed by a suitable choice of tube shape.³ This slowing of imbibition can be rationalized physically as follows: the capillary suction that drives imbibition decreases as the meniscus moves further into the tube, while the viscous dissipation resisting it is still dominated by the radius of the opening at the inlet. In more complicated geometries, e.g., for tubes with sections of different radii, z_m does not obey simple scaling laws,¹² though the rate of meniscus growth is a reasonably sensitive function of the tube's cross section. Indeed, imbibition into channels with nonuniform but axisymmetric cross sections has been used to infer the spatial variation of the channel radius.^{13,14}

Received:December 9, 2015Revised:January 16, 2016Published:January 19, 2016

Downloaded via PARIS CITY UNIVERSITY on October 17, 2024 at 08:29:45 (UTC). See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles.

In other situations, it is desired to control the rate of imbibition. For example, some reactions in microfluidic devices require control of the order in which reactants meet.¹⁵ In 2-D microfluidic channels, imbibition may be sped up by making one wall flexible: as the meniscus passes by, it pulls on the wall, decreasing the thickness of the channel, increasing the curvature of the meniscus, and thereby driving imbibition faster.^{16,17} In this scenario, an effective coefficient of the BCLW law is determined; flexibility is found to increase the speed of imbibition by around 40%. The interaction between imbibition and elasticity has also been observed on macroscopic scales: in elastocapillary rise, the walls of the channel are completely flexible.^{11,18,19} Again a modification of the BCLW law was observed, but because the whole device is able to deform elastically, it is found that the inlet is choked, increasing viscous dissipation and ultimately slowing the rise compared to the rigid case in a similar manner to rigid, diverging channels.³

In this article, we study capillary imbibition into a rigid converging tube as shown, for example, in Figure 1. Here, the



Figure 1. Imbibition into a converging capillary tube, whose radius a(z) is a function of the distance from its base along the axis, *z*. Imbibition occurs from a bath of liquid at atmospheric pressure, which we take to be our pressure datum, and is driven by the capillary suction pressure beneath the meniscus. In our analysis, we neglect the role of gravity for simplicity.

magnitude of the capillary suction increases as the meniscus penetrates the tube, so by analogy with the diverging tube, it is tempting to conclude that imbibition into such a tube will always beat the BCLW law. However, the viscous dissipation in the neighborhood of the meniscus also increases as the meniscus approaches the narrowest end of the tube. Under some circumstances, therefore, it should be possible that capillary imbibition will, in fact, be slower than under the BCLW law. It is natural then to ask the following questions: can imbibition into a converging tube beat the BCLW law, and if so, when? We show that the answers to these questions depend critically on the tube's shape: if the tube converges too quickly, then imbibition is slowed dramatically and can even take an infinite time to imbibe to a fixed distance. However, for a wide range of tube shapes, imbibition does indeed beat the BCLW law with the time taken to travel a finite distance decreased by more than 50%. We illustrate this effect with simple experiments on imbibition into glass capillary tubes and glass micropipette tips.

THEORETICAL RESULTS

General Formulation. We use lubrication theory²⁰ to describe the velocity field within the liquid during imbibition; we also neglect the role of gravity, which is valid at the small scales that are our primary motivation here. (Our use of lubrication theory requires that the tube radius varies only slowly along its axis.) As a result of this assumption, the pressure within the liquid depends only on z, p = p(z). The axial component of the fluid velocity, w(r, z), then satisfies the reduced Stokes equation

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\right) = \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}z} \tag{2}$$

where μ is the dynamic viscosity of the liquid. Equation 2 is to be solved subject to the no-slip condition w(a, z) = 0, which immediately gives

$$w = \frac{1}{4\mu} \frac{dp}{dz} [r^2 - a(z)^2]$$
(3)

and hence the fluid flux through a horizontal slice is

$$Q(z) = 2\pi \int_0^a rw \, dr = -\frac{\pi [a(z)]^4}{8\mu} \frac{dp}{dz}$$
(4)

However, since the boundaries of the tube are assumed to be stationary, we also have that Q must be independent of z. Equation 4 can therefore be rearranged to give the pressure within the liquid as a function of z, namely,

$$p(z) = -\frac{8\mu Q}{\pi} \int_0^z [a(z')]^{-4} dz'$$
(5)

where we have used the assumption that the inlet of the tube is at atmospheric pressure, p(z = 0) = 0. The flux Q is related to the speed of imbibition $\dot{z}_{\rm m}$ by mass conservation, $Q = \pi a (z_{\rm m})^2 \dot{z}_{\rm m}$, which may be substituted into eq 5 to give the pressure everywhere within the liquid.

To determine the (a priori unknown) rate at which the meniscus imbibes, $\dot{z}_{\rm m}$, we use the fact that the pressure at the meniscus, $z_{\rm m}$, must be given by the capillary suction, $-2\gamma_{\rm lv}/R$, where $\gamma_{\rm lv}$ is the interfacial tension and R is the radius of curvature of the meniscus. Elementary geometry, together with the assumption of a constant contact angle θ_c , gives $R = a(z_{\rm m})/\cos(\theta_c - \phi)$ where $\tan \phi = -a'(z_{\rm m})$ is the slope of the tube wall relative to its axis. We therefore have that the capillary suction driving imbibition is $-2\gamma_{\rm lv}\cos(\theta_c - \phi)/a(z_{\rm m})$, which for $\phi \ll 1$ (as required by lubrication theory³) is $\approx -2\gamma'/a(z_{\rm m})$ with $\gamma' = \gamma_{\rm lv}\cos\theta_c$. We therefore have

 $\frac{2\gamma'}{a(z_{\rm m})} = 8\mu a(z_{\rm m})^2 \dot{z}_{\rm m} \int_0^{z_{\rm m}} \left[a(z')\right]^{-4} \, {\rm d}z'$

or

$$\dot{z}_{\rm m} = \frac{\gamma'}{4\mu} \frac{a(z_{\rm m})^{-3}}{\int_0^{z_{\rm m}} [a(z')]^{-4} \, {\rm d}z'} \tag{7}$$

To progress any further, it is necessary to make some explicit assumptions for the form of the radius a(z).

Finite Cones. To make the general formalism discussed above more concrete, we first consider the problem of capillary imbibition into a finite cone with shape equation

(6)

Article



Figure 2. Analytical predictions for the imbibition of liquid into tubes with power-law radius tapering, $a(Z) = a_0(1 - Z)^n$, for various values of the shape exponent *n*. (a) Main figure: The evolution of the relative meniscus position, $Z_m = z_m/L$, as a function of time $T = t \times \gamma' a_0/(\mu L^2)$ as predicted by eq 10 for shape exponents n = 1/8 (red solid), n = 1 (green dotted), n = 3/2 (blue dashed-dotted line), and n = 3 (magenta dashed-double dotted) together with the BCLW imbibition law (eq 12), (dashed curve). Inset: the shape of the corresponding tubes, using the same line styles. (b) The approach of the meniscus to the tip of the tube for the three cases with n < 2 showing that the full analytical results do indeed recover the predicted power law behavior, (eq 14), as the meniscus approaches the tip. Here the different line styles are the same as in (a).

$$a(z) = a_0 \left(\frac{L-z}{L}\right)^n, \ n \ge 0$$
(8)

This cone has a finite radius a_0 at its base and zero radius at some length z = L. In practice, we imagine that a very small (but finite) radius hole exists at z = L, allowing air to escape from the tube as the meniscus advances (this is the case in our experiments). We note also that the case n = 0 corresponds to a tube of constant radius for which the BCLW law holds.

With the shape equation, eq 8, it is natural to introduce $Z_m = z_m/L$ and $T = t \times \gamma' a_0/(\mu L^2)$ as dimensionless variables; eq 7 then becomes

$$\dot{Z}_{\rm m} = \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right) \left[\left(1 - Z_{\rm m}\right)^{1-n} - \left(1 - Z_{\rm m}\right)^{3n} \right]^{-1} \tag{9}$$

This may be solved (subject to $Z_m(0) = 0$) to give the meniscus position implicitly in terms of time as

$$T = T_{\text{end}} + \frac{4}{4n-1} \left\{ \frac{(1-Z_{\text{m}})^{3n+1}}{3n+1} - \frac{(1-Z_{\text{m}})^{2-n}}{2-n} \right\}$$
(10)

where

$$T_{\rm end} = \frac{4}{(2-n)(3n+1)}$$
(11)

is the time at which the meniscus reaches the tip of the cone, i.e., the time at which $Z_m = 1$. (Note that T_{end} gives physically relevant values only for n < 2; we shall discuss what happens for $n \ge 2$ shortly.) The solution (eq 10) is plotted for four different values of n in Figure 2a.

From Figure 2 we see that at early times the imbibition is very close to that predicted by the BCLW imbibition law, which in these dimensionless units reads

$$Z_{\rm m} = (T/2)^{1/2} \tag{12}$$

This can be derived by considering the RHS of eq 10 in the limit $Z_m \ll 1$; physically, it reflects the fact that for small meniscus penetration the relative changes in tube radius are small so the meniscus moves as if it were imbibing into a tube of constant radius. This behavior is generic and has been observed previously in similar systems.^{3,13}

We note from eq 11 that the dimensionless imbibition time is positive and finite, $0 < T_{end} < \infty$, only if n < 2: if $n \ge 2$, the meniscus does not reach the end of the cone within a finite time. To see this more clearly and to uncover the motion of the meniscus as it approaches the tube tip, we let $Z_m = 1 - \zeta(T)$ and consider the dominant behavior in eq 9 for $\zeta \ll 1$. We find that

$$-\frac{d\zeta}{dT} \approx \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{4} - n\right)\zeta^{-3n}, \ n < 1/4\\ \left(n - \frac{1}{4}\right)\zeta^{n-1}, \ n \ge 1/4 \end{cases}$$
(13)

We then have that for $\zeta \ll 1$

$$\zeta \sim \begin{cases} (T_{\text{end}} - T)^{1/(3n+1)}, & n < 1/4 \\ (T_{\text{end}} - T)^{1/(2-n)}, & 1/4 \le n < 2 \\ \exp(-7T/4), & n = 2 \\ (T - T_*)^{-1/(n-2)}, & n > 2 \end{cases}$$
(14)

where the prefactors are determined by the full solution, as is the constant T_* for n > 2. We note that these scalings are similar to what was found recently for the motion of drops into a tapered wedge,²¹ though the different behavior for n < 1/4was not noted there.

As expected, eq 14 shows that for n < 2 the meniscus reaches the tip of the cone a finite time, T_{end} , after the beginning of capillary imbibition. For n = 2, it reaches the tip exponentially slowly, while for n > 2, the meniscus reaches the tip only algebraically slowly as $T \rightarrow \infty$. This then provides an answer to our original question of whether the increased capillary suction or the increased viscous dissipation dominates as the liquid enters a narrowing tube: provided that the tube does not have too sharp a cusp (n < 2), the increase in capillary suction overcomes the increased dissipation and capillary imbibition proceeds quickly.

It is also of some interest to determine for which values of *n* the meniscus reaches the tip more quickly than the BCLW imbibition (for which $T_{\rm end} = T_{\rm end}^{\rm BCLW} = 2$) would. A simple calculation reveals that $T_{\rm end} < 2$ for all values of $n \in (0, 5/3)$,



Figure 3. Dimensionless time taken for the meniscus to reach the tip of a power-law cone, T_{end} , as a function of the shape exponent, n (solid curve). The corresponding result for a tube of constant radius (n = 0) is shown as the dashed line, demonstrating that capillary imbibition is "faster" for all n < 5/3, with the crossover point (5/3, 2) indicated by a solid square. Imbibition in a power-law tube with n = 5/6 reaches the tip of the tube first (i.e., has minimal T_{end}); the point (5/6, 48/49) is indicated by a solid circle.

emphasize two features of this result: (i) almost any degree of tapering (provided it is not too sharp at the end) will decrease the time taken to imbibe liquid to the tip when compared with imbibition into a tube of constant radius and (ii) values of n close to the optimal, n = 5/6, achieve imbibition times very close to the optimal one; in particular, for n = 1 (a cone of constant angle), $T_{end} = 1$, which is within 2% of the optimal value.

Optimal Shapes. Having considered the specific case of power-law-shaped tubes and found that the minimum imbibition time for this family of shapes occurs with n = 5/6, a natural question is whether this shape is in fact optimal among all possible shapes. To address this question, we now consider the problem of determining the tube shape a(z) that minimizes the time t_{end} taken for the fluid to imbibe to a given distance *L*. Since capillary imbibition naturally occurs faster in wider capillary tubes, we must somehow restrict the allowable a(z) to make this problem well-posed; we choose to do so by restricting $a(z) \le a_0$ everywhere for some radius a_0 .

Adopting the same nondimensionalization as above and writing $a(z) = a_0 A(Z)$, the dimensionless time taken for the meniscus to reach Z = 1 (z = L) is given by

$$T_{\rm end} = \int_0^1 \frac{dZ_{\rm m}}{\dot{Z}_{\rm m}} = 4 \int_0^1 A(Z_{\rm m})^3 K(Z_{\rm m}) \, dZ_{\rm m}$$
(15)

where $0 \le A(Z) \le 1$ and

$$K(Z_{\rm m}) = \int_0^{Z_{\rm m}} [A(Z)]^{-4} \, \mathrm{d}Z$$
(16)

A detailed calculation using the calculus of variations (given in the Appendix) shows that the shape function A(Z) that minimizes this time, subject to the constraint $A(Z) \leq 1$, is the piecewise linear function

$$A(Z) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 < Z < 1/4 \\ \frac{4}{3}(1-Z) & 1/4 < Z < 1 \end{cases}$$
(17)

This shape is shown in the inset to Figure 4, where it is compared to the fastest power-law shape, $A(Z) = (1 - Z)^{5/6}$.



Figure 4. Main figure: Evolution of the meniscus position, $Z_m = z_m/L$, as a function of time $T = t \times \gamma' a_0/(\mu L^2)$ for imbibition into the optimal capillary tube (eq 17) (red solid curve), the fastest power-law tube, $A(Z) = (1 - Z)^{5/6}$, (green dashed-dotted curve), and the constant-radius BCLW imbibition law (eq 12), (blue dashed curve). Inset: Different shapes of these three tubes, with line styles as in the main figure.

Note that the optimal tube shape in this case consists of a constant radius cylinder attached to a linearly tapering cone with the cylinder occupying a fraction $\alpha = 1/4$ of the total length. The corresponding imbibition time is $T_{\rm end} = 7/8 \approx 0.875$, which is slightly smaller than the value $48/49 \approx 0.980$ found for the optimal power-law tube $A(Z) = (1 - Z)^{5/6}$.

A simple calculation also shows that for the optimal shape (eq 17) imbibition precisely follows the BCLW law (eq 12) for T < 1/8 but then proceeds at constant speed, $\dot{Z}_{\rm m} = 1$, for 1/8 < T < 7/8. The evolution of the meniscus position in this case is shown as the solid curve in Figure 4. A comparison with the evolution obtained with the fastest power-law shape, $A(Z) = (1 - Z)^{5/6}$, (dashed-dotted curve in Figure 4) shows that the meniscus in the optimal tube initially lags behind that in the fastest power-law tube but quickly overtakes it once it reaches the conical section. (In particular, imbibition into the optimal tube overtakes that in an n = 5/6 tube at $T \approx 0.403$, $Z \approx 0.528$.) It is also perhaps of interest that the optimal shape carries a larger volume of liquid than the fastest power-law shape.

EXPERIMENTS

We tested our theoretical predictions by conducting experiments in which glycerol imbibes into the end of a micropipette tip (glycerol was used to ensure that the dynamics is dominated by viscosity). Prepulled micropipette tips with a base outer diameter of 1 mm and a tip inner diameter of 1 μ m were obtained commercially (FivePhoton, San Diego, CA). These tips consist of a long portion ($L \approx 5$ cm) in which the inner radius is approximately constant, $a_0 \approx 330 \ \mu$ m. The tube then tapers to an inner radius of $\lesssim 0.5 \ \mu$ m over a horizontal distance of ~ 6 mm; as a first approximation, this tapering is approximately linear. (See Figure 5 for a sense of the linearity of the tapering.)

We remove the portion of the tube in which the radius is constant using a diamond-tipped scribe (RS components); the experiments reported here were performed on just the tapered



Figure 5. Capillary "race": imbibition of glycerol into a cylindrical tube (top) and a tapered tube; a micropipette tip (bottom) shows that capillary imbibition into converging tubes can happen faster than predicted by the BCLW law. Here both tubes have a maximal internal radius of $a_0 \approx 330 \,\mu\text{m}$ and outer diameter of 1 mm. Note that the scale bar corresponds to 1 mm and each division on the ruler corresponds to 0.5 mm. The red arrow indicates the position of the meniscus in each image.

tip. This was then placed horizontally on the stage of a microscope, and a drop of glycerol was placed at the base of the tube. (The volume of this drop, $V \gtrsim 100 \ \mu$ L, was large enough that the capillary pressure within the drop was negligible in comparison with the capillary suction that drives imbibition.) The imbibition was captured at 30 frames/s (using a CCD camera attached to the microscope), and the resulting movie was analyzed using ImageJ (NIH).

A comparison of images from the imbibition into the tapered tube and into the constant-radius portion (normally discarded) is shown in Figure 5. As we expect on the basis of the theory presented above, imbibition into a tapered cone "beats" that into a tube of constant radius. To quantify the qualitative effect shown in Figure 5 and to facilitate a quantitative comparison between theory and experiment, Figure 6 shows the dimensionless meniscus position as a function of time for both cases. (For quantitative experiments with a constant radius, melting-point capillary tubes of constant outer diameter 1.5 mm were used.) Here we see that the experimental results follow reasonably closely those predicted theoretically, though in the dimensionless terms used here the main uncertainty comes from the variation in contact angle $\theta_{\rm c}$ between experiments and repeated measurements in the same experiment. We also see that at early times $(T \leq 0.2)$ the growth in both cases appears to be linear with time. Previous studies^{5,6} have shown that the inertia of the liquid causes a similar linear rise at early times, though this should⁶ be confined to $t \leq \rho a^2/$ μ , or $T \lesssim 10^{-5}$ in our dimensionless variables. Instead, we believe that this early time discrepancy is caused by the pressure gradient within the feed drop of glycerol in the vicinity of the entrance to the tube; this is comparable to that within the capillary tube itself for $z_{\rm m} \lesssim a_0$ but becomes negligible as the meniscus imbibes further into the tube. Nevertheless, the general behavior predicted theoretically is consistent with that measured experimentally. In particular, the time taken for the meniscus to reach the tip of the tapered tube is roughly half the time taken for the constant radius tube, as expected. Furthermore, the fact that the tapered tube does not actually shrink to zero radius does not affect the results significantly: the additional time that the meniscus would have taken to reach the true tip is a relatively small fraction (<10%) of the total imbibition time.



Figure 6. Experimental results for the imbibition of glycerol into a prepulled micropipette tip (blue squares) and a cylindrical capillary tube (red circles). The dimensionless depth of imbibition, $Z_m = z_m/L$, is shown as a function of dimensionless time $T = t\gamma' a_0/(\mu L^2)$. For the approximately linear cone, the base radius is $a_0 \approx 330 \ \mu m$ and $L \approx 6 \ mm$, while for the capillary tube, the base radius is $a_0 \approx 513 \ \mu m$ and $L \approx 5 \ mm$. Theoretical results are shown for the imbibition into a linear cone, n = 1 (solid curve), and into a capillary tube, n = 0 (dashed curve). In both experiments, $\mu = 1.49 \ Pa \ s$ and $\gamma_{lv} = 63 \ mN/m$; the value of the contact angle is measured from experimental images to be $\sim 75 \pm 5^{\circ}$ in each experiment. There is no parameter fitting, but error bars for the constant tube experiments are obtained from two runs under the same experimental conditions.

TWO-DIMENSIONAL ANALOGUE

It is a simple matter to repeat the analysis presented earlier for the case of imbibition into a 2-D channel with walls at $x = \pm a(z)$. The important changes are (i) that the suction pressure just beneath the meniscus is now $-\gamma'/a(z_m)$ rather than $-2\gamma'/a(z_m)$ because the meniscus is curved in only one direction and (ii) that the integrated conservation of mass occurs only through the thickness of the channel (rather than across the area). The 2-D analogue of eq 7 is then

$$\dot{z}_{\rm m} = \frac{\gamma'}{3\mu} \frac{[a(z_{\rm m})]^{-2}}{\int_0^{z_{\rm m}} [a(z')]^{-3} \, \mathrm{d}z'}$$
(18)

For channels with a shape described by eq 8, this can be solved similarly to the axisymmetric case and leads to an expression similar to eq 10. Of most interest here, however, is that for n < 2 the meniscus reaches the tip of the wedge at a dimensionless time

$$T_{\rm end}^{\rm 2D} = \frac{3}{(2-n)(1+2n)}$$
(19)

(The dimensionless variables here are precisely the same as in the axisymmetric problem.)

In this 2-D geometry, the imbibition time for a channel with no tapering (n = 0) is $T_{\text{end}}^{\text{BCLW}} = 3/2$, compared to $T_{\text{end}}^{\text{BCLW}} = 2$ for a tube. Apart from this quantitative difference, the behavior of the 2-D problem is very similar to that in the axisymmetric problem. In particular, the form of $T_{\text{end}}(n)$ given by eq 19 is qualitatively very similar to that shown in Figure 3 with the following quantitative differences: the minimal imbibition time, $T_{\text{end}}^{\min} = 24/25$, is obtained with $n = n_{\text{opt}} = 3/4$ (i.e., tapering gives a reduction in imbibition time of 36% over imbibition into a constant-width channel). Furthermore, for any 0 < n < 3/2, $T_{\text{end}} < T_{\text{end}}^{\text{BCLW}} = 3/2$; for a broad range of channel shapes, tapering decreases the time taken for imbibition.

Finally, repeating the minimization of the imbibition time $T_{\rm end}$ over all shapes (modifying the variational formulation of the problem in the Appendix), we find that the optimal shape is again a constant-width channel attached to a section with a constant taper to zero width at the tip, as in eq 17. In the 2-D case, however, the optimal channel has a constant width for a fraction $\alpha = 1/3$ of its total length and gives an imbibition time of $T_{\rm end} = 5/6$. Table 1 summarizes the key quantities of interest in each of the 2-D and axisymmetric imbibition problems.

Table 1. Comparison of Imbibition into a Tapering Channel (the Two-Dimensional Problem) and into a Tapering Tube (the Axisymmetric Problem)^a

problem	quantity	2-D channel	axisymmetric tube
BCLW imbibition	$T_{\rm end}^{\rm BCLW}$	3/2	2
power-law tapering	$T_{\rm end}$ < $T_{\rm end}^{\rm BCLW}$ for	0 < n < 3/2	0 < n < 5/3
	n _{opt}	3/4	5/6
	$T_{ m end}^{ m min}$	24/25	48/49
	$T_{\rm end}(n=1)$	1	1
optimal tapering	$\alpha_{ m opt}$	1/3	1/4
	$T_{\rm end}^{\rm min}$	5/6	7/8

^{*a*}The optimal parameter values for imbibition into a power-law tube/ channel are shown together with those for the optimal shape beyond power-law shapes (which in both axisymmetric and 2-D geometries is of the form in eq 17, albeit with different optimal values of the cylinder-to-total length ratio, α). The results for the classic BCLW imbibition are also shown for comparison.

DISCUSSION

In this article, we have quantified the degree of tapering that allows liquid to imbibe into a tube or channel more quickly than into a tube or channel of constant width. However, we have also seen that very steep tapering (n > 2) prevents liquid from ever reaching the tip of the tube or channel. In each case, an optimal power-law shape exists that is close to, but not equal to, a cone of constant cone angle, i.e., $n \neq 1$; the optimal powerlaw shapes have an imbibition time that is roughly half (axisymmetric tube) or two-thirds (2-D channel) that in the constant-width case. Allowing the optimization to be performed over all shapes with maximum radius a_0 (rather than simply for power-law shapes), we found that the truly optimal shape in both cases consists of a cylindrical tube, of length αL and radius a_0 , connected to a linear cone of length $(1 - \alpha)L$ and base a_0 . This led to a small further (10-15%) reduction in the minimum imbibition time possible with a power-law tube. Nevertheless, it is interesting that a conical tube always achieves a significant improvement in the imbibition time compared to that of a constant-radius tube and is relatively close to the true optimum.

We note that the tapered tubes studied here are qualitatively similar to those found in some biological systems. For example, the optimal distribution of tracheids in a pine needle that minimizes the pressure drop required to transport water by transpiration has been computed.²² This optimal distribution corresponds to a tube with an axially varying radius of the power-law shape used here, but with n = 1/4.²² A more closely related biological example is the wharf roach (Ligia exotica), which uses capillary action to move water along the outside of its legs.²³ Intriguingly, the dynamics of this capillary action are such that the meniscus moves at a constant speed, $z_{\rm m} \sim t_{\rm s}^{23}$ similar to that seen in the optimal imbibition time problem studied here. The mechanics that lead to this motion are unclear; the geometry of the leg of the wharf roach is extremely complicated, with micrometer-scale surface patterning, making any direct comparison difficult.

In technological applications, being able to move fluid as quickly as possible using surface tension may be useful in microfluidic applications, as was anticipated by van Honschoten et al.¹⁶ and Anoop and Sen.¹⁷ These authors suggested that including a flexible wall in a channel enhances the capillary imbibition but that it still follows a BCLW-type $t^{1/2}$ scaling. However, the prefactor of the effective BCLW law is increased by up to 29% (corresponding to a filling time that is 60% of the corresponding rectangular filling time), with the precise enhancement dependent on the strength of surface tension relative to the stiffness of the flexible wall. Here we have shown that a conical channel is able to reduce the filling time by more than 50%. Unlike elasticity-enhanced imbibition, however, the enhancement in the rate of imbibition due to geometry is independent of the surface tension of the liquid. We also note that combining the effects of a tapered tube with a noncircular cross section⁸ might enhance imbibition since high curvature due to, for example, a groove would increase the driving suction. However, as we saw here for tubes with n > 2, this increase in suction can be more than balanced by the concomitant increase in viscous dissipation; a more detailed study of this question is warranted.

Our results on imbibition in converging channels also give new insight into the capillary rise between elastic elements, as considered by a number of authors.^{18,19,24} In particular, this elastocapillary rise often induces contact between deformed objects so that the elastic objects spontaneously form the type of tapered channel considered here.^{19,24} The shape of the elastic elements close to the point of contact varies depending on whether the ends are touching or sticking:²⁴ as the meniscus advances, surface tension at first causes contact to occur between beams (which results in a wedge shape, $n \approx 1$) but then draws the elements to contact along a length (which results in an approximately cubic profile, $n \approx 3$). The analysis presented in this article suggests that this difference in geometry can profoundly affect the resulting dynamics (between meniscus motion at a constant rate, for touching ends, and a meniscus that approaches the tip only asymptotically, for sticking ends). Nevertheless, the fact that the elastocapillary rise may, in general, transition between different effective values of n (and also that the point of contact may itself move during motion) complicates the picture somewhat, making this an interesting area for future research.

APPENDIX: OPTIMAL IMBIBITION PROBLEM

The imbibition time, given in eqs 15 and 16, may be expressed as a functional of functions A and K

$$T_{\text{end}} = \mathcal{F}[A, K] \equiv 4 \int_0^1 \left[A^3 K + \lambda \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}K}{\mathrm{d}Z} - A^{-4} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}Z$$
(20)

where the Lagrange multiplier λ is introduced to enforce the relationship (eq 16) between A(Z) and K(Z).

To ensure that the function extremizing (eq 20) satisfies $0 \le A \le 1$, we replace *A* in eq 20 with $A = (1 - B^2)^2$. The Euler–Lagrange equation corresponding to variations in *B*(*Z*) then gives that either B = 0 (corresponding to A(Z) = 1) or

$$\lambda(Z) = -\frac{3}{4}K(1 - B^2)^{14}$$
(21)

The Euler–Lagrange equation corresponding to variations in K(Z) then gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}Z} = (1 - B^2)^6 \tag{22}$$

In the case of $B \neq 0$, λ may be eliminated from eq 22 using eq 21. (If B = 0, then eq 22 is merely an equation for λ .) Together with the constraint (eq 16), we may then eliminate *B* in favor of *K* to find that

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}K}{\mathrm{d}Z}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{4}K\frac{\mathrm{d}^2K}{\mathrm{d}Z^2} = 0 \tag{23}$$

(In deriving this result, we have neglected the possibility that $1 - B^2 = 0$, which corresponds to A(Z) = 0 and hence to the maximal imbibition time.) The general solution of eq 23 may be written $K(Z) = (c_1 - c_2 Z)^{-3}/(3c_2)$, which corresponds to $A(Z) = c_1 - c_2 Z$, where c_1 and c_2 are constants.

Our analysis thus yields two functional forms for A(Z) that may correspond to optimal imbibition times, A(Z) = 1 and $A(Z) = c_1 - c_2 Z$. Since A must be continuous, the optimal solution must therefore take the piecewise linear form

$$A(Z) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 < Z < \alpha \\ 1 - \beta \frac{Z - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} & \alpha < Z < 1 \end{cases}$$
(24)

for constants $0 \le \alpha$, $\beta \le 1$. (It is easy to check that the only other option, connecting an initially linear section to a straight section A(Z) = 1, does not yield a minimal value of T_{end} .) Substituting this form for A(Z) back into eq 15 and minimizing over α and β , we find that the minimum occurs for $\alpha = 1/4$, $\beta = 1$. This is the optimal shape given in eq 17.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: dominic.vella@maths.ox.ac.uk.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant agreement no. 637334). We are grateful to the participants of Oxford– Princeton Collaborative Workshop Initiative 2014 for discussions leading to this work and to Howard Stone for his subsequent comments. We are also grateful to John Wettlaufer for setting up the Mathematics Observatory, allowing the experiments described here to be performed.

REFERENCES

(1) Washburn, E. W. The dynamics of capillary flow. *Phys. Rev.* **1921**, 17, 273–283.

(2) Lucas, R. Ueber das Zeitgesetz des kapillaren Aufstiegs von Flüssigkeiten. Colloid Polym. Sci. 1918, 23, 15-22.

(3) Reyssat, M.; Courbin, L.; Reyssat, E.; Stone, H. A. Imbibition in geometries with axial variations. *J. Fluid Mech.* **2008**, *615*, 335–344.

(4) Bell, J. M.; Cameron, F. K. The flow of liquids through capillary spaces. J. Phys. Chem. **1906**, 10, 658–674.

(5) Quéré, D. Inertial capillarity. *Europhys. Lett.* **1997**, *39*, 533–538. (6) Fries, N.; Dreyer, M. The transition from inertial to viscous flow in capillary rise. J. Colloid Interface Sci. **2008**, 327, 125–128.

(7) Lago, M.; Araujo, M. Capillary Rise in Porous Media. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2001, 234, 35-43.

(8) Berthier, J.; Gosselin, D.; Berthier, E. A generalization of the Lucas-Washburn-Rideal law to composite microchannels of arbitrary cross section. *Microfluid. Nanofluid.* **2015**, *19*, 497–507.

(9) Delker, T.; Pengra, D. B.; Wong, P.-Z. Interface Pinning and the Dynamics of Capillary Rise in Porous Media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *76*, 2902–2905.

(10) Ponomarenko, A.; Quéré, D.; Clanet, C. A universal law for capillary rise in corners. J. Fluid Mech. 2011, 666, 146–154.

(11) Cambau, T.; Bico, J.; Reyssat, E. Capillary rise between flexible walls. *EPL* **2011**, *96*, 24001.

(12) Young, W. B. Analysis of capillary flows in non-uniform crosssectional capillaries. *Colloids Surf.*, A 2004, 234, 123–128.

(13) Urteaga, R.; Acquaroli, L. N.; Koropecki, R. R.; Santos, A.; Alba, M.; Pallareè, J.; Marsal, L. F.; Berli, C. L. A. Optofluidic characterization of nanoporous membranes. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 2784–2789.

(14) Elizalde, E.; Urteaga, R.; Koropecki, R. R.; Berli, C. L. A. Inverse problem of capillary filling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *112*, 134502.

(15) Tani, M.; Kawano, R.; Kamiya, K.; Okumura, K. Towards combinatorial mixing devices without any pumps by open-capillary channels: fundamentals and applications. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 10263.

(16) van Honschoten, J. W.; Escalante, M.; Tas, N. R.; Jansen, H. V.; Elwenspoek, M. Elastocapillary filling of deformable nanochannels. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2007**, *101*, 094310.

(17) Anoop, R.; Sen, A. K. Capillary flow enhancement in rectangular polymer microchannels with a deformable wall. *Phys. Rev. E* 2015, *92*, 013024.

(18) Duprat, C.; Aristoff, J. M.; Stone, H. A. Dynamics of elastocapillary rise. J. Fluid Mech. 2011, 679, 641–654.

(19) Aristoff, J. M.; Duprat, C.; Stone, H. A. Elastocapillary imbibition. *Int. J. Non- linear Mech.* **2011**, *46*, 648–656.

(20) Batchelor, G. K. An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics; Cambridge University Press, 1967.

(21) Reyssat, E. Drops and bubbles in wedges. J. Fluid Mech. 2014, 748, 641–662.

(22) Zwieniecki, M. A.; Stone, H. A.; Leigh, A.; Boyce, C. K.; Holbrook, N. M. Hydraulic design of pine needles: one-dimensional optimization for single-vein leaves. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **2006**, *29*, 803– 809.

(23) Tani, M.; Ishii, D.; Hariyama, T.; Shimomura, M.; Okumura, K. Capillary rise on legs of a small animal and on arti cially textured surfaces mimicking them. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, e96813.

Langmuir

(24) Taroni, M.; Vella, D. Multiple equilibria in a simple elastocapillary system. *J. Fluid Mech.* **2012**, *712*, 273–294.